

Subject: Clarification Language to Address Mike Bonin's Feelings

From: Donald Duckworth <duckworth.donald@gmail.com>

Date: 01/13/2017 02:25 PM

To: Miki Payne2 <miki@hbdronger.com>

CC: Andy Loos <Andy@hbdronger.com>, Karen Dial
<KDial@hbdronger.com>

BCC: Donald R Duckworth <duckworth.donald@gmail.com>

Yesterday we agreed that we should ask Chad to review the language of our clarification email. Chad asked that the following sentence be removed: "He also said he agrees that property owner approval should be required before street vending could occur on any particular property."

Chad said: "I know I told Miki that this language was acceptable to Mike, but we are trying to find something better."

Given our goal, I said "ok." Then added: "We sure would like some input / review of any language that is intended to protect us."

Chad said: "Of course."

I wish I wasn't so suspicious... What could help us more than a simple property owner approval requirement?